Federal Acquisition Regulation

corporation for purposes of 6 U.S.C. 395 (which does not have a limiting date) and therefore also for purposes of this section.

[74 FR 31563, July 1, 2009]

9.108-3 Prohibition.

- (a) Section 743 of Division D of the FY 2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act (Public Law 111-8) prohibits the use of 2009 appropriated funds for contracting with any foreign incorporated entity that is treated as an inverted domestic corporation, or with a subsidiary of such a corporation. The same restriction was also contained in the Fiscal Year 2006 through 2008 appropriations acts. In order to be eligible for contract award when using Fiscal Year 2006 through Fiscal Year 2009 funds, an offeror must represent that it is not an inverted domestic corporation or subsidiary. Any offeror that cannot so represent is ineligible for award of a contract using such appropriated funds.
- (b) Contracting officers should rigorously examine circumstances known to them that would lead a reasonable business person to question the contractor self—certification and, after consultation with legal counsel, take appropriate action where that questionable self-certification cannot be verified.

 $[74~{\rm FR}~31563,~{\rm July}~1,~2009]$

9.108-4 Waiver.

Any agency head may waive the requirement of subsection 9.108-3 for a specific contract if the agency head determines in writing that the waiver is required in the interest of national security, documents the determination, and reports it to the Congress.

[74 FR 31563, July 1, 2009]

9.108-5 Solicitation provision.

When using funds appropriated in Fiscal Year 2006 through Fiscal Year 2009, the contracting officer shall include the provision at 52.209–2, Prohibition on Contracting with Inverted Domestic Corporations—Representation, in each solicitation issued after July 1, 2009 for the acquisition of products or services (see FAR 52.212–3 for solicita-

tions issued under Part 12), unless waived in accordance with FAR 9.108–4.

[74 FR 31563, July 1, 2009]

Subpart 9.2—Qualifications Requirements

SOURCE: 50 FR 35476, Aug. 30, 1985, unless otherwise noted.

9.200 Scope of subpart.

This subpart implements 10 U.S.C. 2319 and 41 U.S.C. 253(e) and prescribes policies and procedures regarding qualification requirements and the acquisitions that are subject to such requirements.

9.201 Definitions.

As used in this subpart—

Qualified bidders list (QBL) means a list of bidders who have had their products examined and tested and who have satisfied all applicable qualification requirements for that product or have otherwise satisfied all applicable qualification requirements.

Qualified manufacturers list (QML) means a list of manufacturers who have had their products examined and tested and who have satisfied all applicable qualification requirements for that product.

[50 FR 35476, Aug. 30, 1985, as amended at 53 FR 34227, Sept. 2, 1988; 66 FR 2128, Jan. 10, 2001]

9.202 Policy.

- (a)(1) The head of the agency or designee shall, before establishing a qualification requirement, prepare a written justification—
- (i) Stating the necessity for establishing the qualification requirement and specifying why the qualification requirement must be demonstrated before contract award;
- (ii) Estimating the likely costs for testing and evaluation which will be incurred by the potential offeror to become qualified; and
- (iii) Specifying all requirements that a potential offeror (or its product) must satisfy in order to become qualified. Only those requirements which are the least restrictive to meet the

9.202

purposes necessitating the establishment of the qualification requirements shall be specified.

- (2) Upon request to the contracting activity, potential offerors shall be provided—
- (i) All requirements that they or their products must satisfy to become qualified;
- (ii) At their expense (but see 9.204(a)(2) with regard to small businesses), a prompt opportunity to demonstrate their abilities to meet the standards specified for qualification using qualified personnel and facilities of the agency concerned, or of another agency obtained through interagency agreements, or under contract, or other methods approved by the agency (including use of approved testing and evaluation services not provided under contract to the agency).
- (3) If the services in (a)(2)(ii) above are provided by contract, the contractors selected to provide testing and evaluation services shall be—
- (i) Those that are not expected to benefit from an absence of additional qualified sources; and
- (ii) Required by their contracts to adhere to any restriction on technical data asserted by the potential offeror seeking qualification.
- (4) A potential offeror seeking qualification shall be promptly informed as to whether qualification is attained and, in the event it is not, promptly furnished specific reasons why qualification was not attained.
- (b) When justified under the circumstances, the agency activity responsible for establishing a qualification requirement shall submit to the competition advocate for the procuring activity responsible for purchasing the item subject to the qualification requirement, a determination that it is unreasonable to specify the standards for qualification which a prospective offeror (or its product) must satisfy. After considering any comments of the competition advocate reviewing the determination, the head of the procuring activity may waive the requirements of 9.202(a)(1)(ii) through (4) above for up to 2 years with respect to the item subject to the qualification requirement. A copy of the waiver shall be furnished to the head of the agency or other offi-

- cial responsible for actions under 9.202(a)(1). The waiver authority provided in this paragraph does not apply with respect to qualification requirements contained in a QPL, QML, or QBL.
- (c) If a potential offeror can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the contracting officer that the potential offeror (or its product) meets the standards established for qualification or can meet them before the date specified for award of the contract, a potential offeror may not be denied the opportunity to submit and have considered an offer for a contract solely because the potential offeror—
- (1) Is not on a QPL, QML, or QBL maintained by the Department of Defense (DOD) or the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA); or
- (2) Has not been identified as meeting a qualification requirement established after October 19, 1984, by DOD or NASA; or
- (3) Has not been identified as meeting a qualification requirement established by a civilian agency (not including NASA).
- (d) The procedures in subpart 19.6 for referring matters to the Small Business Administration are not mandatory on the contracting officer when the basis for a referral would involve a challenge by the offeror to either the validity of the qualification requirement or the offeror's compliance with such requirement.
- (e) The contracting officer need not delay a proposed award in order to provide a potential offeror with an opportunity to demonstrate its ability to meet the standards specified for qualification. In addition, when approved by the head of an agency or designee, a procurement need not be delayed in order to comply with 9.202(a).
- (f) Within 7 years following enforcement of a QPL, QML, or QBL by DOD or NASA, or within 7 years after any qualification requirement was originally established by a civilian agency other than NASA, the qualification requirement shall be examined and revalidated in accordance with the requirements of 9.202(a). For DOD and NASA, qualification requirements, other than QPL's, QML's, and QBL's,

Federal Acquisition Regulation

shall be examined and revalidated within 7 years after establishment of the requirement under 9.202(a). Any periods for which a waiver under 9.202(b) is in effect shall be excluded in computing the 7 years within which review and revalidation must occur.

[50 FR 35476, Aug. 30, 1985, as amended at 53 FR 34227, Sept. 2, 1988]

9.203 QPL's, QML's, and QBL's.

- (a) Qualification and listing in a QPL, QML, or QBL is the process by which products are obtained from manufacturers or distributors, examined and tested for compliance with specification requirements, or manufacturers or potential offerors, are provided an opportunity to demonstrate their abilities to meet the standards specified for qualification. The names of successful products, manufacturers, or potential offerors are included on lists evidencing their status. Generally, qualification is performed in advance and independently of any specific acquisition action. After qualification, the products, manufacturers, or potential offerors are included in a Federal or Military QPL, QML, or QBL. (See 9.202(a)(2) with regard to any product, manufacturer, or potential offeror not yet included on an applicable list.)
- (b) Specifications requiring a qualified product are included in the following publications:
- (1) GSA Index of Federal Specifications, Standards and Commercial Item Descriptions.
- (2) Department of Defense Acquisition Streamlining and Standardization Information System (ASSIST) at (http://assist.daps.dla.mil).
- (c) Instructions concerning qualification procedures are included in the following publications:
- (1) Federal Standardization Manual, FSPM-0001.
- (2) Defense Standardization Manual 4120.24–M, Appendix 2, as amended by Military Standards 961 and 962.
- (d) The publications listed in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section are sold to the public. The publications in paragraphs (b)(1) and (c)(1) of this section may be obtained from the addressee in 11.201(d)(1). The publications in paragraphs (b)(2) and (c)(2) of this

section may be obtained from the addressee in 11.201(d)(2).

[50 FR 35476, Aug. 30, 1985, as amended at 53 FR 17857, May 18, 1988; 63 FR 34062, June 22, 1998; 67 FR 6120, Feb. 8, 2002; 71 FR 227, Jan. 3, 2006]

9.204 Responsibilities for establishment of a qualification requirement.

The responsibilities of agency activities that establish qualification requirements include the following:

- (a) Arranging publicity for the qualification requirements. If active competition on anticipated future qualification requirements is likely to be fewer than two manufacturers or the products of two manufacturers, the activity responsible for establishment of the qualification requirements must—
- (1) Periodically furnish through the Governmentwide point of entry (GPE) a notice seeking additional sources or products for qualification unless the contracting officer determines that such publication would compromise the national security.
- (2) Bear the cost of conducting the specified testing and evaluation (excluding the costs associated with producing the item or establishing the production, quality control, or other system to be tested and evaluated) for a small business concern or a product manufactured by a small business concern which has met the standards specified for qualification and which could reasonably be expected to compete for a contract for that requirement. However, such costs may be borne only if it is determined in accordance with agency procedures that such additional qualified sources or products are likely to result in cost savings from increased competition for future requirements sufficient to amortize the costs incurred by the agency within a reasonable period of time, considering the duration and dollar value of anticipated future requirements. A prospective contractor requesting the United States to bear testing and evaluation costs must certify as to its status as a small business concern under section 3 of the Small Business Act in order to receive further consideration.
- (b) Qualifying products that meet specification requirements.